

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/683,766	10/10/2003	Alan Edward Landers	821603-1050	1587
24504 7	590 07/12/2005		EXAM	INER
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP 100 GALLERIA PARKWAY, NW			SORKIN, DAVID L	
STE 1750			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA, C	A 30339-5948		1723	

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	لهر	<u> </u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/683,766	LANDERS, ALAN EDWARD			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David L. Sorkin	1723			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of the field will apply and will expire SIX (6) Matute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	0 March 2005.				
·					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-7 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 8-12 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and the strict of the striction and the strict of the striction and the strict of the strict	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con-					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a line.</li> </ul>	ents have been received. ents have been received in criority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/10/03 &amp; 3/30/05.</li> </ol>	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date if Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/683,766 Page 2

Art Unit: 1723

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-7, drawn to an apparatus including a rotatable agitator, classified in class 366, subclass 279.
- II. Claim 8-12, drawn to a method of repeated activating and deactivating an agitator, classified in class 366, subclass 348.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the method could be practiced without a rotatable agitator, for example using a reciprocating agitator.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/683,766 Page 3

Art Unit: 1723

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

6. During a telephone conversation with Todd Deveau on 30 June 2005 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claims 8-12. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-7 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 8. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cockroft (US 3,548,280). Cockroft ('280) discloses in a particulate matter (see col. 1, lines 36-38) delivery system having a mechanical agitator (24), a method comprising repeatedly activating and deactivating the agitator (see col. 1, lines 55-60; col. 6, lines 57-59).

Application/Control Number: 10/683,766

Page 4

Art Unit: 1723

9. Claims 8, 9, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Black (US 6,758,590). Regarding claim 8, Black ('590) discloses in a particulate matter delivery system having a mechanical agitator (206), a method comprising repeatedly activating and deactivating the agitator (see col. 1, lines 60-67; col. 5 line 65 to col. 6 line 25). Regarding claim 9, the step of activating the agitator comprises the step of activating the agitator during a first time interval and the step of deactivating the agitator comprises the step of deactivating the agitator during a second, longer, time interval (see Table 1, i.e. col. 6 lines 19-25). Regarding claim 11, the first time interval is less than 20% of a duty cycle and the second time interval is more than 80% of a duty cycle (see Table 1, i.e. col. 6, lines 19-25). Regarding claim 12, the output of the particulate matter delivery system is metered when the agitator is deactivated (see col. 3 lines 15 to col. 4 line 26).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Black (US 6,758,590). In the method of Black ('590) discussed above with regard to claim 9, the exact numerical time values recited in instant claim 10 are not explicitly disclosed. However, Black ('590) recognizes these time value as result effective variables to be

Application/Control Number: 10/683,766 Page 5

Art Unit: 1723

optimized (see col. 6, lines 1-25); therefore, it would have been to have optimized these recognized result effective variable to suit a particular situation.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David L. Sorkin whose telephone number is 571-272-1148. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 -5:30 Mon.-Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David L. Sorkin Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1723